Surface Structure of CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃ Catalysts Studied by X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

YASUAKI OKAMOTO, TOSHINOBU IMANAKA, AND SHIICHIRO TERANISHI

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Tokonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

Received March 5, 1980

X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopic studies of oxidic and sulfided $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts revealed the chemical species, the surface structure of the catalysts, and the promoting effect of Co. It was found from the Mo(3d)/Al(2s) and Co(2p)/Al(2s) intensity ratios that the surface structure of the oxidic catalysts was highly sensitive to the preparation method. Bilayer structures are proposed for the catalysts prepared by sequential impregnations, while a separate phase structure is suggested to be plausible for the catalysts prepared by a simultaneous impregnation. On sulfidation the surface structure of the $CoO-Moo₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts was not essentially altered under atmospheric pressure, compared to that of the oxidic precursor catalysts, although Co and MO were sulfided. The oxidic catalysts mainly consist of $Co₃O₄$ and pseudo-CoAl₂O₄, the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ increasing with Co content and depending on the preparation method. On the basis of the observation that both the extent of sintering and the sultidation degree of MO are depressed by Co, it is suggested that the stabilization effect of Co (most likely pseudo-CoAl₂O₄) for Mo monolayers is operative during hydrodesulfurizations, thus holding the MO effective for the reactions.

INTRODUCTION

Physicochemical characterization of $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ hydrodesulfurization (HDS) catalysts has been extensively carried out by many workers using various techniques, revealing the chemical species present in the catalyst surface. However, only a few papers $(1-4)$ are concerned with the surface structure or morphology of the catalysts, although the information on the surface structures of the oxidic and, in particular, of the sulfided catalysts is necessary to understand the behavior of the catalysts in HDS reactions, the effect of preparation method, and finally the role of Co in the reactions.

X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is one of the best techniques to study the surface structure of the catalysts $(5 -$ 13). With $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, Delmon and his co-workers (4) have very recently investigated the surface structure of the catalysts by XPS and proposed a

"bilayer" structure for the oxidic catalysts, in which Co covers the Mo monolayer. They varied the $Co/Co + Mo$ ratio, holding the loading amount of $MoO₃ + Co₃O₄$ constant (15 wt%). On the basis of the XPS results Ng and Hercules (12) have shown with $NiO-WO_3/Al_2O_3$ catalysts that Ni_2O_3 is dispersed on top of the W monolayer.

In the present paper, we examined the surface structure of $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts by XPS. The catalysts with a constant $MoO₃$: $Al₂O₃$ ratio and containing various amounts of Co0 were prepared by three different impregnation methods to avoid ambiguities resulting from the change in the extent of MO dispersion with the loading amount of $MoO₃$ (13–15) and to examine the preparation effects on the surface structure of the catalysts. The surface structure of the sulfided catalysts were compared with that of the oxidic precursor catalysts. The stabilization effect of Co for MO phase is proposed to understand the XPS results.

EXPERIMENTAL

Catalysts

 $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts were prepared by impregnating γ -Al₂O₃ (Nishio Industry Co. Ltd., Type AE-11) using aqueous solutions of ammonium paramolybdate and cobalt nitrate. The water was evaporated to dryness at 90°C under stirring, followed by drying at 100°C for 16 h. The loading amount of $MoO₃$ was kept constant (15 wt% with respect to the support), while the Co0 content was varied up to 20 wt% with respect to the support. The catalysts prepared by sequential impregnations are denoted by $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ (Mo first and then Co) and $Mo-(Co)/Al₂O₃$ (Co first and then MO). These catalysts were calcined twice at 550°C for 5 h after the first and second impregnations. Catalysts impregnated simultaneously with Mo and Co and calcined at 550°C for 5 h are represented by $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ hereafter. Supported Mo/Al_2O_3 (15 wt% MoO_3) and $Co/Al₂O₃$ catalysts were also examined. All the catalysts were used in fine powders as prepared. The BET surface areas of the catalysts were measured by N_2 adsorption at 77 K after the catalysts were evacuated at 300°C for 1 h.

XPS Measurements

X-Ray photoelectron spectra were measured at room temperature on a Hitachi 507 photoelectron spectrometer using $AIKa_{1,2}$ radiation (IO kV, 50 mA). The performances and calibration of the spectrometer have been described elsewhere (16). The catalyst sample was mounted on doublesided adhesive tape and evacuated at room temperature to ca. 1×10^{-5} Torr (1 Torr = 133.3 N m^{-2}) in the pretreatment chamber by using a sorption pump. Subsequently, the catalyst sample was transferred to the analyzer chamber for the measurements of XPS spectra (base pressure during the measurements, ca. 1×10^{-7} Torr). Binding energies were referenced to the Al(2s) level for the catalyst support, Al_2O_3 (Al(2s),

119.1 eV) as an internal standard. The accuracy in the determination of the binding-energy value was estimated to be ± 0.2 eV. The XPS spectra were analyzed in terms of relative peak area intensities and chemical shifts of the $Co(2p)$, $Mo(3d)$ Al(2s), $S(2p)$, $S(2s)$, $O(1s)$, and $C(1s)$ levels. The peak area intensities were measured by planimetry of the graphic displays of the spectra assuming linear baselines. The effect of carbon contamination on the relative XPS intensities was neglected here because $C(1s)$ intensities were nearly invariant among the catalysts. Relative intensities are reported with a precision of $\pm 5\%$.

Sulfidation of the Catalysts

The $CoO-MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ catalysts were treated with thiophene/ H_2 (1/18 mol/mol, H_2 ; 50 ml/min) after prereduction with H_2 (50 ml/min) for 1 h or after presulfidation with CS_2/H_2 (1/9 mol/mol, H₂; 27 ml/min) for 1 h. The thiophene/ H_2 treatment was continued until the catalyst reached steadystate conversion of thiophene (ca. 3 h for prereduced catalysts and ca. 2 h for presulfided ones). All the treatments were undertaken at 400°C and atmospheric pressure of thiophene/ H_2 , CS_2/H_2 , or H_2 using a continuous-flow reactor (catalyst weight, 0.154 g). More detailed procedures have been shown elsewhere (13, 17). After the sulfidation of the catalyst, thiophene/ H_2 was replaced with purified N_2 . Stripping period at 400°C in flowing N_2 (50 ml/min) was about IO min. Then the catalyst was cooled down to room temperature. The procedure took about I h on the whole. Subsequently, both ends of the reactor were shut off by stopcocks. This allowed isolation of the reactor containing the catalyst, to avoid any contact of the catalyst with air or moisture. The reactor was transferred to a N_2 -filled glove box which was directly connected with a pretreatment chamber attached to the XPS spectrometer. The reactor with the catalyst inside was opened in the glove box and then the catalyst was rapidly mounted on double-sided

adhesive tape. In the procedure, no oxidized sulfur species such as $SO₄⁻$ was observed with XPS.

RESULTS

I. Oxidic Catalysts

Shown in Fig. 1 are the X-ray photoelectron spectra of the Mo(3d) level for the catalysts. With the Mo/Al_2O_3 (15 wt%) $MoO₃$) catalyst, a slight broadening of the $Mo(3d)$ level (FWHM, 5.6 eV) was observed compared to unsupported $MoO₃(5.2)$ eV), while the binding energy of the $Mo(3d_{5/2})$ level was invariant, as shown in Table 1. This is in good agreement with other workers $(18-20)$. These findings would be ascribable to charging effects and/or to nonequivalent sites for MO in the Al_2O_3 surface. The extent of dispersion of Mo over Al_2O_3 has been discussed in a previous paper (13) based on the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ intensity ratio, indicating the formation of MO monolayer in the $Mo/Al₂O₃$ catalyst with 15 wt% MoO₃. The addition of Co induced no significant

FIG. 1. X-Ray photoelectron spectra of the $Mo(3d)$ level for the catalysts. (a) $MoO₃$; (b) $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ (15 wt% $MoO₃$), oxidic; (c) $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ (3 wt% CoO , 15 wt% $MoO₃$), oxidic; (d) catalyst (b) treated with thiophene/ $H₂$ at 400°C for 2 h after presulfided with CS_2/H_2 at 400°C for 1 h; (e) catalyst (c) treated with thiophene/ H_2 after presulfided.

FIG. 2. X-Ray photoelectron spectra of the $Co(2p)$ level for the catalysts. (a) $Co₃O₄$; (b) $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ (3 wt% CoO, 15 wt% $MoO₃$), oxidic; (c) Co- $(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ (10 wt% CoO, 15 wt% $MoO₃$), oxidic; (d) $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ (5 wt% CoO, 15 wt% MoO₃) treated with thiopene/ H_2 at 400°C for 3 h after prereduced with H_2 at 400°C for 1 h; (e) catalyst (d) treated with thiophene/H₂ after presulfided; (f) $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ (5 wt% CoO, 15 wt% $MoO₃$) treated with thiophene/H₂ at 400°C for 2 h after presulfided.

change in the FWHM of the $Mo(3d)$ level, contrary to Gajardo et al. (20).

The X-ray photoelectron spectra of the $Co(2p)$ level for the catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. Since the information on the binding-energy value is not enough to characterize the chemical state of Co $(2l)$, I_s/I_n intensity ratios (4, intensity of satellite peak; I_p , intensity of parent peak) for the $Co(2p_{1/2})$ level (22) were also examined. The XPS data are summarized in Table I, together with the BET surface areas of the catalysts. The $Co(2p_{3/2})$ binding energy and $I_{\rm s}/I_{\rm p}$ ratio decreased with increasing the Co0 content for all the catalyst series, whereas the $Mo(3d_{5/2})$ binding energies were unchanged (232.7 \pm 0.2 eV). These results would indicate the increase of the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ with increasing the CoO content with respect to the fraction of "CoAl₂O₄" (pseudo-CoAl₂O₄ where Co^{2+} is dispersed in the Al_2O_3 matrix) rather than the increase in the fraction of $CoMoO₄$,

Catalyst ^a	CoO $wt\%$	Surface area (m^2/g)	Binding energy ^b (eV)					$I_s/I_p{}^c$
			$Mo(3d_{5/2})$	$Co(2p_{1/2})$	$Co(2p_{3/2})$	O(1s)	C(1s)	
Al ₂ O ₃		156				531.2	285.0	
$MoO3/Al2O3$		168	232.9			531.1	285.0	
CoO/Al ₂ O ₃	1.5	215		797.2	781.2	531.1	285.0	0.65
	3.0	165		797.1	781.1	531.1	284.6	0.79
	3.0 ^d			797.3	781.3	531.2	285.0	0.90
	5.0	141		797.0	781.1	531.1	284.5	0.69
	10	143		796.6	781.0	531.4	285.2	0.72
	20			797.1	781.2	531.1	285.2	0.73
$Co-(Mo)/Al2O3$	1.5	166	232.7	797.3	781.4	530.9	284.9	0.79
	3.0	162	232.6	797.3	781.4	531.0	284.9	0.88
	5.0	154	232.9	796.9	781.5	531.1	285.0	0.79
	10	146	232.7	797.3	781.5	531.1	285.0	0.68
	20		232.7	796.2	780.6	530.9	284.6	0.42
$(Co-Mo)/Al2O3$	1.5	166	232.9	797.5	781.6	531.0	284.9	0.85
	3.0	176	232.5	796.2	780.7	530.9	284.7	0.74
	5.0	177	232.8	796.2	780.9	531.0	285.0	0.66
	10	148	232.6	796.9	780.9	531.2	285.1	0.52
	20		232.5	795.8	780.2	530.7	284.7	0.44
$Mo-(Co)/Al2O3$	1.5	140	232.6	797.0	781.5	531.0	284.9	0.66
	3.0	138	232.7	797.6	781.5	531.0	284.8	0.76
	5.0	131	232.6	797.4	781.1	531.1	284.7	0.61
	10	114	232.7	796.8	781.2	531.0	284.9	0.70
	20		233.0	796.9	781.1	531.0	285.0	0.63
Co ₃ O ₄				794.6	779.6		285.0	0.35
CoO				796.7	780.7		285.0	0.88
$CoMoO_4^e$			231.8		781.5	530.7	283.5	
CoAl ₂ O ₄				797.3	781.3	531.2	285.0	0.90
$\text{Al}_2(\text{MoO}_4)_3^e$			232.7			531.2	285.0	
MoO ₃			232.9			531.1	285.0	

TABLE I

XPS Data for the CoO/Al_2O_3 , MoO_3/Al_2O_3 , and $CoO-MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ Catalysts

ⁿ Calcined at 550°C for 5 h; MoO₃ content 15 wt% with respect to the support; Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃, sequential impregnation (Mo first and then Co); (Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃, simultaneous impregnation; Mo-(Co)/Al₂O₃, sequential impregnation (Co first and then MO).

b Referenced to the Al(2s) (119.1 eV) or Al(2p) (74.3 eV) level for the support.

 $c I_s/I_p$ for Co(2 $p_{1/2}$) level; I_s , area intensity of satellite peak; I_p area intensity of parent peak.

d Calcined at 700°C.

 e From Patterson et al. (26).

taking into consideration the $Co(2p_{3/2})$ binding energies and I_s/I_p ratios for Co₃O₄, $CoAl₂O₄$, and $CoMoO₄$ in Table 1.¹ With the preparation effect of the catalyst, the $Co(2p_{3/2})$ binding energy is inclined to decrease in the order Co-(Mo)/ $Al_2O_3 \geq Mo (Co)/Al_2O_3 > (Co-Mo)/Al_2O_3.$

¹ Although I_s/I_p , values for CoMoO₄ are not given in Table 1, the I_s/I_p ratio is estimated to be ca. 0.9 based on the fact that $CoMoO₄$ contains octahedral $Co²⁺$ similar to CoO.

In Fig. 3, the diffuse reflectance spectra of the $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts are shown. The relative intensity of triplet bands (540,580, and 630 nm) attributable to "CoAl₂O₄" (23) decreased with increasing the Co0 content with respect to a ligand field band around 710 nm and a broad charge transfer band starting around 600 nm due to $Co₃O₄$ (23). However, no apparent bands ascribable to $CoMoO₄$ (530 and 580 nm) were observed in all the catalysts.

FIG. 3. Diffuse reflectance spectra of CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts. (a) $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$; (b) $(Co Mo)/Al₂O₃$; (c) $Mo-(Co)/Al₂O₃$. Numbers refer to CoO content $(wt\%)$.

Concerning the preparation effects, the fraction of "CoAl₂O₄" decreased in the order $Co-(Mo)/Al_2O_3$ > $(Co-Mo)/Al_2O_3$ \geq Mo-(Co)/Al₂O₃. This coincides approximately with the decreasing order for the binding energy of the $Co(2p_{3/2})$ level. The difference between XPS and DRS data would result from the differences in the sampling depth and in the extent of dispersion of $Co₃O₄$ as discussed below.

It is evident $(5-13)$ that the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ intensity ratios reflect the surface structure of the catalysts, the extent of dispersion of MO or Co, and the morphology of CO-MO oxide phases, since the XPS intensities depend on the dispersion degree of each component as well as on the composition of the topmost surface layers in the catalysts. To examine the surface structure of the catalysts, the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios are plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of the Co0 content. With the $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio decreased with increasing CoO content up to 15 wt%, followed by a significant increase with further addition of CoO. The $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios were higher than those for the corresponding $Co/Al₂O₃$ catalysts. In contrast, as for the $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratios increased with increasing CoO content and the $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios were significantly higher than those for the $Co/Al₂O₃$ catalysts. On the other hand, the Mo- $(Co)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts showed nearly invariant $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratios and lower $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios than those for the $Co/Al₂O₃$ catalysts. These findings in Fig. 4 would imply that the surface structure of the $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts is highly sensitive to the preparation method of the catalysts.

2. Sulfided Catalysts

Typical XPS spectra of the $Mo(3d)$ and $Co(2p)$ levels for the sulfided catalysts are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. With the $Co(2p)$ level, a new peak appeared at the $Co(2p_{3/2})$ binding energy = 777.9 eV (spin-orbit splitting, 15.0 eV) on sulfidation of the catalysts. The $Co(2p)$ peak remaining intact $(Co(2p_{3/2}), 781.3$ eV) is ascribable to "CoAl₂O₄" (21, 22, 24–28). With the $Mo(3d)$ spectra, the binding energy of the $Mo(3d_{5/2})$ band was shifted to 228.8 eV, indicating the formation of sulfided Mo(IV) species $(13, 26)$. X-Ray diffraction analyses showed the presence of $Co₉S₈$ (ASTM 19-364) in the catalysts containing more than 20 wt% Co0 under the sulfidation conditions employed here.

The $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios are shown in Fig. 5 as a function of Co0 content for the catalysts sulfided with thiophene/ $H₂$ after prereduction. The intensity patterns are more or less similar to those for the corresponding oxidic precur-

FIG. 4. Dependences of the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ XPS intensity ratios on the CoO content for the oxidic CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts. (O) Co- $(Mo)/Al_2O_3$; (\triangle) $(Co-Mo)/Al_2O_3$; (\triangledown) $Mo (Co)/Al_2O_3$; (X) Co/Al_2O_3 . Open symbols, $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$; closed symbols, $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$.

FIG. 5. Dependences of the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ XPS intensity ratios on the CoO content for the $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts treated with thiophene/ H_2 at 400°C for 3 h after prereduced with H_2 at 400°C for I h. Same symbols are used as in Fig. 4.

sor catalysts except for the decrease in the $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios. However, a slight decrease in the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio was observed for the $Mo/Al₂O₃$ catalyst (CoO content $= 0$ in Fig. 5) compared to the oxidic catalyst. As for the catalysts treated with thiophene/ H_2 after presulfidation (Fig. 6), the decrease of the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio was prominent for the $Mo/Al₂O₃$ catalyst, whereas the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratios did not change so much for the Co-containing catalysts. It is evident that the decreases in the

FIG. 6. Dependences of the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ XPS intensity ratios on the CoO content for the $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts treated with thiophene/ $H₂$ at 400°C for 2 h after presulfided with $CS₂/H₂$ at 400°C for 1 h. Same symbols are used as in Fig. 4.

FIG. 7. Dependences of the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ ratios on the CoO content for the $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts treated with thiophene/ $H₂$ at 400°C after prereduction (\circ) or presulfidation (\bullet).

 $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio for the $Mo/Al₂O₃$ catalyst on sulfidation bring about the apparent maxima or steep increases of the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio in Fig. 5 and particularly in Fig. 6 for the $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts in the low-CoO-content region.

Figure 7 shows the sulfidation degree of the catalysts expressed by the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ ratio $(S(2p), 162.0 \text{ eV})$. Although adsorbed H_2S would contribute to the $S(2p)$ intensity (29, 30) in our experimental conditions (short stripping period at 400° C), it is estimated that the degree of the H_2S contribution to the $S(2p)$ XPS intensity is similar for the $Mo/Al₂O₃$ and $CoO₊$ $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts due to the identical treatments. The $Mo/Al₂O₃$ catalyst was sulfided to $S/Mo = 2.00$ (atomic ratio) for the presulfided catalyst and to $S/Mo = 1.27$ for the prereduced one as judged from the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ intensity ratios (13, 17). It is noteworthy that the $S(2p)/Mo(3d)$ ratio decreased by the addition of a small amount of Co0 especially with the presulfided catalysts, followed by gradual increase with increasing Co0 content. With other CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, similar results were confirmed too. The decrease in the sulfur content of the catalyst is readily seen in the $Mo(3d)$ spectra in Fig. 1. The relative intensity of the $S(2s)$ level to the Mo(3*d*) level is apparently decreased by the addition of Co (Figs. Id and e). In contrast, such a decrease in the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ ratio by the addition of Co was not observed with CoO- $MoO₃/SiO₂$ catalysts and the $S(2p)/Mo(3d)$ ratio increased monotonously with increasing CoO content (17).

DISCUSSION

1. Surface Species on $CoO-MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ Catalysts

It is apparent from Table 1 that the chemical state of Mo in the $CoO-MoO₃/$ Al_2O_3 catalysts is similar to that in the Mo/ Al_2O_3 catalyst and that Co is present mainly as "CoAl₂O₄" and Co₃O₄, the latter being predominant in the high-CoO-content catalysts. However, the formation of CoMoO, is surmised to be very little from XPS, Xray diffraction analysis, and diffuse reflectance spectra even for the catalysts prepared by simultaneous impregnation. This is consistent with other workers $(4, 19, 24, 28)$ and is due presumably to a solid-state reaction like (31) 3CoMoO₄ + $4Al_2O_3 \rightarrow 3CoAl_2O_4 + Al_2(MoO_4)_3$. On the other hand, some workers (15, 32, 33) reported the formation of CoMoO, over Al_2O_3 -supported catalysts. The discrepancy among workers would be due to catalyst preparation methods, particularly to calcination temperature. Richardson (32) showed from magnetic susceptibility measurements that $CoMoO₄$ was formed when $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts were calcined at temperatures higher than 650°C whereas it was not formed at lower calcination temperatures. LoJacono *et al.* (33) and Medema et al. (15) found CoMoO₄ formation in the catalysts calcined at 600°C using ESR or Raman spectroscopy. It can be conjectured from these results that a high calcination temperature (probably $> 600^{\circ}$ C) facilitates $CoMoO₄$ formation in $CoO-MoO₃/$ Al_2O_3 catalysts. Accordingly, it would be reasonable that CoMoO, formation is very small under our preparation methods (calcination temperature, 550°C). In the case of $CoO-MoO₃/SiO₂$ catalysts, the formation of $CoMoO₄$ is clearly detected by diffuse reflectance and Raman spectroscopies, Xray diffraction analysis, and XPS (15, 17, 34-36). The discrepancies between Al_2O_3 - and SiO_2 -supported catalysts result from the differences in the support-activephase interactions, that is, Al_2O_3 has much

stronger interactions with Mo and Co, while $SiO₂$ does not, compared to Co-Mo interactions (35, 36).

The apparent binding-energy values and $I_{\rm s}/I_{\rm p}$ ratios of the Co(2p) level depend both on the concentration of each species in the topmost surface layers and on the dispersion degree of each component. In other words, the contribution to XPS spectra is greater for the component present in greater amount and better dispersed in the catalyst surface. Accordingly, it is difficult to evaluate the respective fractions of the chemical species on the basis of the XPS data in Table 1. To estimate the approximate fraction of $Co₃O₄$ in the CoO-MoO₃/ Al_2O_3 catalysts, the Co(2p) spectra obtained after the prereduction followed by the thiophene/ H_2 treatment were deconvoluted into two species $("CoAl₂O₄,"$ $Co(2p_{3/2})$ = 781.3 eV, and reduced or sulfided Co, 777.9 eV). The latter Co species (Co_9S_8 as discussed below) is undoubtedly produced from $Co₃O₄$ and CoMoO₄, if present, since "CoAl₂O₄" is hardly reduced or sulfided. Table 2 shows the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ thus estimated in the CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts. The proportion of $Co₃O₄$ increased with CoO content, this being qualitatively consistent with the XPS data in Table 1 and with the diffuse reflectance spectra in Fig. 3. This finding is in agreement with that reported by LoJacono et al. (37) . With the preparation method, the proportion of $Co₃O₄$ increased in the order $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃ < Mo-(Co)/$ $Al_2O_3 < (Co-Mo)/Al_2O_3$. These results are in good agreement with those in Table 1 and Fig. 3. Consequently, it is apparent that the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ depends on the CoO content and on the preparation method of the catalyst.

On sulfidation a new Co phase appeared as shown in Fig. 2. From the binding energy of the new peak $(Co(2p_{3/2}), 777.9 \text{ eV})$, it would be attributable to $Co₉S₈$ or Co metal. It is rather difficult to distinguish between them on the basis of the binding energy, spin-orbit splitting, and satellite structure,

Fraction of Co_3O_4 in the $CoO-M_0O_3/Al_2O_3$ Catalysts

a See Table I.

 b Estimated from the amount of $Co₉S₈$ produced by the treatment with thiophene/ $H₂$ at 400°C and atmospheric pressure for 3 h after prereduced with $H₂$ at 400°C for I h.

since the binding energy of the $Co(2p_{3/2})$ level for Co metal is only a few electron volts lower than that for Co_9S_8 (38) and the spin-orbit splitting for Co metal (21) and $Co₉S₈$ (calculated from stoichiometric $Co₉S₈$ in Ref. (38)) are 15.0 eV and moreover both species show no characteristic satellite structures (21, 38, 39). Therefore, the only decisive distinction between them should be based on stoichiometric considerations as described in our previous paper (38). From the relationships among the $S(2p)$, Mo(3*d*), and reduced or sulfided $Co(2p)$ XPS intensities similar to those found for unsupported $CoO-MoO₃$ catalysts sulfided in situ (38), it was substantiated (17) that the new peak at 777.9 eV was attributable to Co_9S_8 (26, 39) rather than to Co metal (40) under our sulfidation conditions. This conforms to the X-ray diffraction study showing the presence of $Co₉S₈$ in the catalysts containing more than 20 wt% Co0 and also to the thermodynamic considerations (41). The sulfidation of Co is

readily seen in the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ ratios for the presulfided catalysts in Fig. 7. The $S(2p)/Mo(3d)$ ratios for the catalysts containing more than ca. 3 wt% CoO are higher than that corresponding to $MoS₂$ $(S(2p)/Mo(3d) = 0.44)$, indicating apparently the sulfidation of Co.

As for the state of MO in the sulfided catalysts, it is evident that MO is reduced and sulfided on the basis of the Mo($3d_{5/2}$) binding energy (228.8 eV). The sulfidation degree of MO (expressed by S/MO, atomic ratio) was calculated from the $S(2p)/Mo(3d)$ intensity ratios to be 2.00 for the presulfided $Mo/Al₂O₃$ catalysts and 1.27 for the prereduced one. The decrease in the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ ratio in Fig. 7 by the addition of a small amount of Co must be a consequence of the decrease in the sulfidation degree of MO by the stabilization effect of Co for the MO monolayer (I, 17, 27). This is discussed below in more detail in connection with the surface structure of the sulfided catalysts.

2. Surface Structure of the Oxidic Catalysts

In order to estimate the surface structure or morphology of the catalyst based on the XPS results in Fig. 4, it is necessary to discuss XPS intensity patterns characteristic to various surface structures. We consider here the simple surface structures presented schematically in Figs. 8 and 9, where stippling denote subsurface "CoAl₂O₄." However, the surface structure of actual $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts is not so simple and is simultaneously comprised of structures I-III. We discuss here the surface structure simply on the basis of the XPS intensity patterns characteristic of the special structures in Figs. 8 and 9. Accordingly, the surface structures suggested here would represent only a major one and, of course, we cannot rule out the presence of the other less important structures. Although the information from XPS on the surface structure is thus restricted, it would be useful to estimate a major struc-

FIG. 8. Schematic diagram showing the relationships between the Mo(3d)/Al(2s) or Co(2p)/Al(2s) XPS intensity ratio and the Co0 content in CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts with constant $MoO₃$ content for the surface structures I and II.

ture in the catalyst surface and to suggest the changes in the surface structure with preparation method and with sulfidation.

Schematic correlations between the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ intensity ratios and the specific surface structures are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. If Co phase covers the MO phase ("bilayer" structure I), the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio is expected to decrease with increasing Co0 content, since the $Mo(3d)$ photoelectrons are attenuated by Co by a factor of $1 - \theta_{C_0}$ (1 $exp(-d_{Co}/\lambda_{Mo}))$, where θ_{Co} is the coverage of Co phase over the Mo phase, d_{co} the thickness of Co layer, and λ_{M_0} the escape depth of the $Mo(3d)$ photoelectrons (7, 8, II, 13, 42). On the other hand, the $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratio would be larger than those for the corresponding $Co/Al₂O₃$ catalysts because of the attenuation of the $Al(2s)$ photoelectrons by the thicker Co-MO double layers and presumably because of better dispersion of Co induced by the well-dispersed MO monolayer. Another "bilayer" structure (II) to be considered is shown in Fig. 8, where Mo covers Co phase. Assuming that the Co phase is fully covered by Mo, the $Co(2p)$ photoelectrons are attenuated by a factor of $exp(-d_{M0}/$ λ_{Co}), where d_{Mo} is the thickness of the Mo layer and λ_{Co} the escape depth of the Co(2p)

photoelectrons. The $Mo(3d)$ intensity would be almost invariant and the $AI(2s)$ photoelectrons slightly attenuated by the addition of Co due to the CO-MO double layers. If Co and MO are distributed over the support constituting a "separate phase" (structure III) in Fig. 9, both the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and the $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios increase with the Co0 content compared to those for the Mo/Al_2O_3 and Co/Al_2O_3 catalysts, since only the $Al(2s)$ photoelectrons are effectively attenuated by the MO and Co phases, the latter of which is presumably dispersed well by the presence of the welldispersed MO phase.

With the $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, it is apparent by comparing Figs. 4 and 8 that part of Co covers the MO monolayer, forming a "bilayer" structure as suggested by Delmon et al. (4). Moné (2) proposed a similar "bilayer" structure in which Co adsorbed on MO sites, reducing the Brønsted acidity on the catalysts. However, with the catalysts containing more than 10–15 wt% CoO, a large part of $Co₃O₄$ seems to constitute separate phases (structure III), since both the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and the $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ intensity ratios begin to increase from there. This is probably due to the limiting capacity of the MO phase for Co adsorption. With the $Mo-(Co)/Al₂O₃$ cata-

FIG. 9. Schematic diagram showing the relationships between the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ or $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ XPS intensity ratio and the Co0 content in CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts with constant $MoO₃$ content for the surface structure III.

lysts, it is considered that Mo covers the preexisting Co phase as expected from the preparation method, forming a reversed "bilayer" structure. These assignments would become more convincing when it is taken into account that the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ (Table 2) decreases in the order Mo- $(Co)/Al_2O_3 > Co/Al_2O_3 > Co-(Mo)/Al_2O_3.$

With the $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, the intensity patterns coincide with those in Fig. 9. This seems to indicate that MO and Co phases are dispersed well over the Al_2O_3 surface, constituting mainly a "separatephase" structure (III). From the enormously larger $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios compared to those for the $Co/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, it is very plausible that Co is dispersed very well and that the Co and MO phases are well contacted or in close proximity due to Co-MO interactions. However, there is a possibility that structure I or II contributes considerably to the surface structure of the $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts as well as structure III, since the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ in these catalysts is significantly higher than that in the other Co-containing catalysts.

As for the dispersion degree of $Co₃O₄$, which is sulfided to $Co₉S₈$ during HDS reactions, it is considered that $Co₃O₄$ in the Co- $(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ and $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts is dispersed much better than that in the Mo- $(Co)/Al_2O_3$ and Co/Al_2O_3 catalysts, this suggesting better contacts between Co and MO phases in the former catalysts than in the latter ones. This idea could explain higher HDS activities of the $Co-(Mo)/Al₂O₃$ and $(Co-Mo)/Al₂O₃$ catalysts compared to those of the MO-(Co)/ Al_2O_3 catalysts (17, 39).

Nevertheless, as mentioned above, these surface structures are major ones in the catalysts and the minor contributions of the other structures should not be ignored. More detailed and qualitative information would be obtained by the use of scanning Auger electron spectroscopy in connection with depth profiling by means of noble gas ion sputtering. Although we have discussed the surface structure of the $CoO-MoO₃/$ $Al₂O₃$ catalysts on the basis of the simple surface models, it is noteworthy that the surface structure of the catalysts is very sensitive to the preparation method.

3. Surface Structure of Sulfided Catalysts

As for the catalysts sulfided with thiophene/ H_2 after prereduction with H_2 , the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ and $Co(2p)/Al(2s)$ ratios depended on the Co0 content in a more or less similar manner to those for the corresponding oxidic catalysts, except for the catalysts in the low-CoO-content region. The $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio for the Mo/Al_2O_3 catalyst decreased from 0.83 to 0.70 on sulfidation, while the ratios for the catalysts containing Co did not change as much. With the $CoO-MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ catalysts used for thiophene HDS after presulfidation with CS_2/H_2 , the anomalies in the Mo(3d)/ $Al(2s)$ ratio observed in the low-CoO content region were much more prominent than those for the prereduced catalysts. The Mo(3d)/Al(2s) ratio for the Mo/Al₂O₃ catalyst diminished greatly from 0.83 to 0.36 under presulfidation conditions. Although small decreases in the $Mo(3d)/$ $Al(2s)$ ratio are noted on sulfidation, the $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts show enormous stabilities against a decrease in the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio compared to the Mo/ Al_2O_3 catalyst. Consequently, it is considered that the apparent maxima or steep increases in the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio in Fig. 5 and particularly in Fig. 6 are induced simply by the great decreases in the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio for the Mo/Al_2O_3 catalyst rather than by special reconstructions of the surface structure of the Co-containing catalysts. From the results of the water extractions of Mo in fresh $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ and reoxidized catalysts after sulfidation, it has been shown (13) that the decrease in the $Mo(3d)/Al(2s)$ ratio for the $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts results from a shrinkage and/or sintering of Mo phase to form $MoS₂$ at the expense of MO monolayer and that the extent of MO sintering increases with the sulfidation degree of MO. The selectivity to

butane formation during the HDS of thiophene over $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts was found to be reasonably explained in terms of the sintering of Mo phase (43) .

Taking into consideration the above points and comparing Figs. 4, 5, and 6, we are inclined to believe that the surface structure or morphology of the CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts is not essentially altered by sulfidation, that is, a "bilayer" structure produces a sulfided "bilayer" structure and a "separate-phase" structure forms a sulfided "separate-phase" structure when sulfided under our conditions. However, it is noteworthy that the sintering of MO or Co phase is considerably depressed by the addition of Co into the MO/ Al_2O_3 or by the introduction of Mo into the $Co/Al₂O₃$, although some sinterings of Mo and Co phases occur on sulfidation.

In the previous paper (38) on unsupported COO-MOO, catalysts, surface segregation of Co or MO was reported to occur when $CoO-MoO₃$ catalysts were contacted with reactive gases such as H_2 , thiophene/ H_2 , and H_2S/H_2 . However, these phenomena were completely obscured in the supported $CoO-MoO₃$ catalysts due to sintering of Co and MO and could not be detected, although they may have occurred.

4. Stabilization of MO Phase by Co

As discussed above, the CoO- $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts show great resistivity against the sintering of the MO phase. This is supported further by the results in Fig. 7. In our previous paper (13) on various $MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts, it has been shown that the sulfidation of Mo accompanies the sintering of MO phase, producing MoS, at the expense of MO monolayer, and that the degree of sintering increases with the degree of sulfidation of MO. As shown in Fig. 7, the sulfidation degree of the catalyst expressed by the $S(2p)/MO(3d)$ ratio decreased by the addition of a small amount of Co, although the sulfur attached to Co contributes to the $S(2p)$ XPS intensity, this indicating the decrease in the sulfidation degree of MO by the presence of Co and the concurrent decrease in the extent of Mo sintering. This fact conforms to the stabilization of MO phase by Co. Contrary to the Al_2O_3 -supported catalysts, no such effect of Co was observed with CoO-MoO₃/SiO₂ catalysts (17). Therefore, it is concluded that MO monolayer is stabilized by Co (most likely by "CoAl₂O₄") as pointed out previously (17, 27), thus holding the MO more effective for HDS reactions. This is evidently one of the promoting effects of Co in $CoO-MoO_3/Al_2O_3$ HDS catalysts. The stabilization effect of Co seems to conform to the monolayer model (I, 296, 44). However, the occurrence of some extent of MO sintering would support the surface model proposed by DeBeer et al. (45) that oxidic $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts are correctly described by the monolayer model $(1, 29b, 44)$, but that during sulfidation or by actual operation the catalysts are converted to a state that is similar either to the intercalation model (46) or the synergetic model (47).

CONCLUSIONS

The XPS studies of the oxidic and sulfided $CoO-MoO₃/Al₂O₃$ catalysts revealed the chemical species, the surface structure of the catalysts, and the promoting effect of Co. The salient findings of this study are as follows:

(1) Co exists mainly as $Co₃O₄$ and "CoAl, O_4 ," the fraction of Co_3O_4 increasing with increasing Co0 content.

(2) With the preparation method, the fraction of $Co₃O₄$ increases in the order Co- $(Mo)/Al_2O_3$ < $Mo-(Co)/Al_2O_3$ < $(Co Mo)/Al₂O₃.$

(3) With the surface structure of the oxidic catalysts, the sequential impregnation of Co over Mo/Al_2O_3 catalysts results in a "bilayer" structure where Co covers part of MO phase. In the reverse impregnation order, MO covers part of Co.

(4) In the case of simultaneous impregnation, Mo and Co seem to form a "separate-phase" structure on the Al_2O_3 surface.

(5) The surface structure of the sulfided catalysts is essentially similar to that of the oxidic precursor catalysts, although Co and MO are sulfided and sintered.

 (6) The stabilization of Mo phase by Co, most likely by "CoAl₂O₄," is substantiated, the dispersion of Mo phase being held better and the sulfidation degree of MO being held lower.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Mr. H. Tomioka for experimental assistance.

REFERENCES

- I. Schuit, G. C. A., and Gates, B. C., AIChE J. 19, 417(1973).
- 2. Moné, R., *in* "Preparation of Catalysts" (B. Delmon, P. A. Jacobs, and G. Poncelet, Eds.), p. 381. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976.
- 3. Grimblot, J., and Bonnelle, J. P., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 9, 449 (1976).
- 4. Delmon, B., Grange, P., Gajardo, P., and Delannay, F., C.R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C 288, 391 (1979).
- 5. Shalvoy, R. B., and Reucroft, P. J., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 12, 351 (1977).
- 6. Fung, S. C., J. Catal. 58, 454 (1979).
- 7. Kerkhof, F. P. J. M., and Moulijn, J. A., J. Phys. Chem. 83, 1612 (1979).
- 8. Angevine, P. J., Delgass,W. N., and Vartuli, J. C., in "Proceedings, 6th International Congress on Catalysis, London, 1976" (G. C. Bonds, P. B. Wells, and F. C. Tompkins, Eds.), p. 611. The Chemical Society, London, 1977.
- 9. Briggs, D., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 9, 487 (1976).
- IO. Brinen, J. S., and Schmitt, J. L., J. Catal. 45, 274 (1976).
- II. Okamoto, Y., Nitta, Y., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I 76, 998 (1980).
- 12. Ng, K. T., and Hercules, D. M., J. Phys. Chem. 80, 2094(1976).
- 13. (a) Okamoto, Y., Tomioka, H., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., Chem. Lett., 381 (1979); (b) Okamoto, Y., Tomioka, H., Katoh, Y., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi. S., J. Phys. Chem., g4,1g33 (1980).
- 14. Giordano, N., Bart, J. C. J., Vaghi, A., Castellan, A., and Martinotti, G., J. Catal. 36, 81 (1975).
- 15. Medema, J., Van Stam, C., deBeer, V. H. J., Konings, A. J. A., and Koningsberger, D. C., J. Catal. 53, 386 (1978).
- 16. Okamoto, Y., Nitta, Y., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 175, 2027(1979).
- 17. (a) Okamoto, Y., Tomioka, H., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., Shokubai (Catalyst) 21, 336 (1979); (b) preprint of paper submitted to the 7th International Congress on Catalysis, Tokyo, July 1980.
- 18. Miller, A. W., Atkinson, W., Barber, M., and Swift, P.. J. Catal. 22, 140 (1971).
- 19. Cimino, A., and De Angelis, B. A., J. Catal. 36, 11 (1975).
- 20. Gajardo, P., Declerk-Grimee, R. I., Delvaux, G., Olodo, P., Zabala, I. M., Canesson, P., Grange, P., and Delmon, B., J. Less Common Metals 54, 311 (1977).
- 21. Okamoto, Y., Nakano, H., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 48, 1163 (1975).
- (a) Grimblot, J., D'Huysser, A., Bonnelle, J. P., and Beaufils, J. P., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 6, 71 (1975); (b) Bonnelle, J. P., Grimblot, J., and D'Hysser, A., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 7, 151 (1976).
- 23. Ashley, J. H., and Mitchell, P. C. H., J. Chem. Soc. A, 2821 (1968).
- 24. Grimblot, J., Bonnelle, J. P., and Beufils, J. P., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 8, 437 (1976).
- 25. Friedman, R. M., Declerck-Grimee, R. I., and Fripiat, J. J., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 5, 437 (1974).
- 26. Patterson, T. A., Carver, J. C., Leyden, D. E., and Hercules, D. M., J. Phys. Chem. 80, 1700 (1976).
- 27. Okamoto, Y., Nakano, H., Shimokawa, T., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., J. Catal. 50, 447 (1977).
- 28. Declerk-Grimee, R. I., Canesson, P., Friedman, R. M., and Fripiat, J. J., J. Phys. Chem. 82, 885 (1978).
- 29. (a) Massoth, F. E., J. Catal. 36, 164 (1975); (b) Advan. Catal. 27, 265 (1978).
- 30. Massoth, F. E., Chung, K. S., and Ramachandran, R., Fuel Proc. Tech. 2, 57 (1979).
- 31. Lipsch, J. J. G., and Schuit, G. C. A., J. Catal. 15, 163, 174, 179 (1969).
- 32. Richardson, J. T., Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 3, 154 (1964).
- 33. LoJacono, M., Verbeek, J. L., and Schuit, G. C. A., J. Catal. 29, 463 (1973).
- 34. DeBeer, V. H. J., Van der Aalst, M. J. M., Michiels, C. J., and Schuit, G. C. A., J. Catal. 43, 78 (1976).
- 35. Gajardo, P., Pirotte, D., Defosse, C., Grange, P., and Delmon, B., J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 17, 121 (1979).
- 36. (a) Gajardo, P., Grange, P., and Delmon, B., J. Phys. Chem. 83, 1771 (1979); (b) Gajardo, P., Pirotte, D., Grange, P., and Delmon, B., J. Phys. Chem. 83, 1780 (1979).
- 37. LoJacono, M., Cimino, A., and Schuit, G. C. A., Gazz. Chim. Ital. 103, 1281(1973).
- 38. Okamoto, Y., Shimokawa, T., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., J. Catal. 57, 153 (1979).
- 39. Declerck-Grimee, R. I., Canesson, P., Friedman, R. M., and Fripiat, J. J., J. Phys. Chem. 82, 889 (1978).
- 40. Brinen, J. S., and Armstrong, W. D., J. Catal. 54, 57 (1978).
- 41. McKinley, J. B., Catalysis 5, 405 (1957).
- 42. Carlson, T. A., "Photoelectron and Auger Spectroscopy," Chap. 5. Plenum, New York/London, 1975.
- 43. Okamoto, Y., Tomioka, H., Imanaka, T., and Teranishi, S., J. Catal., in press.
- 44. Gates, B. C., Katzer, J. R., and Schuit, G. C. A., "Chemistry of Catalytic Processes," p. 390. Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, 1979.
- 45. (a) DeBeer, V. H. J., Van Sint Fiet, T. H. M., Engelen, J. F., Van Haandel, A. C., Wolfs, M. W. J., Amberg, C. H., and Schuit, G. C. A., J. Catal. 27,357 (1972); (b) DeBeer, V. H. J., Van Sint Fiet, T. H. M., Van der Steen, G. H. A. M., Zwaga, A. C., and Schuit, G. C. A., J. Catal. 35, 297 (1974); (c) DeBeer, V. H. J., and Schuit, G. C. A., Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 272, 61 (1976).
- 46. (a) Voorhoeve, R. J. H., and Stuiver, J. C. M., J. Catal. 23, 228, 243 (1971); (b) Voorhoeve, R. J. H., *J. Catal.* **23,** 236 (1971); (c) Farragher, A. L., and Cossee, P., in "Proceedings, 5th International Congress on Catalysis, Palm Beach, 1972" (J. W. Hightower, Ed.), p. 1301. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- 47. Hargenbach, G., Courthy, P., and Delmon, B., J . Catal. 31, 264 (1973).